United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/585,472	06/02/2000	Michiaki Sakamoto	157330/99	6609
21254 75	590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			RUDE, TIMOTHY L	
SUITE 200	DRIHOUSE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, VA	22182-3817		2883	
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•		
Office Action Community	09/585,472	SAKAMOTO, MICH	HAKI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·		
	Timothy L Rude	2883			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	Iress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cou			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Au	iaust 2004.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,15-18 and 21-23 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,15-18 and 21-23 are subject to re-	vn from consideration.	ment.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b) objected to by the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression in the correction is objected to be the Expression in the correction of the c					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

Claims 13, 14, 19, and 20 are canceled. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12, 15, 17, 18, and 21 are amended. Claim 23 is added.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's arguments during the interview of 23 August 2004 and in the letter received 24 August 2004 coupled with numerous claim amendments, and the addition of claim 23 make the claimed method no longer obvious in view of the claimed device structure. It is presently clear that the method steps are now distinct from the device.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-12 and 15-16, drawn to an active matrix liquid crystal display device with particular color filter design, classified in class 349, subclass 106.
- II. Claims 17, 18, and 21-23, drawn to a method of manufacturing an active matrix liquid crystal display device with particular color filter formation steps, classified in class 349, subclass 187.

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the

process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case (1), the process as claimed can be used to make an electrochromic display, and (2), the product can be made by preselecting a second thickness to allow a contact hole to be formed with a fine pattern without regard for first thickness chromaticity, and a color density of the color filter can be selected to provide the desired chromaticity at the first thickness.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Invention I contains the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, drawn to an active matrix liquid crystal display device with particular color filter design comprising a second color filter thickness that allows a contact hole to be formed with a fine pattern.

Species B, drawn to an active matrix liquid crystal display device with particular color filter design comprising a second color filter thickness that permits complete photo-crosslinkage in a contact hole.

Species C, drawn to an active matrix liquid crystal display device with particular color filter design comprising a second color filter thickness that allows etching without damage to the overcoat layer.

Invention II contains the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species D, drawn to a method of manufacturing an active matrix liquid crystal display device with particular color filter formation steps comprising formation of a second color filter thickness that allows a contact hole to be formed with a fine pattern.

Species E, drawn to a method of manufacturing an active matrix liquid crystal display device with particular color filter formation steps comprising formation of a second color filter thickness that permits complete photo-crosslinkage in a contact hole.

Species F, drawn to a method of manufacturing an active matrix liquid crystal display device with particular color filter formation steps comprising formation of a second color filter thickness that allows etching without damage to the overcoat layer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from A-C of Invention I or elect a single disclosed species from D-F of Invention II for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/585,472 Page 5

Art Unit: 2883

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/585,472 Page 6

Art Unit: 2883

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy L Rude whose telephone number is (571) 272-2301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy L Rude Examiner Art Unit 2883

tlr

The Ple

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner

Frank & Fort

Technology Center 2800